

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/883,475	06/16/2001	Eric Harold Henrikson	42430-10455	1203	
75	90 07/17/2003				
Patent Docket Clerk			EXAMINER		
Jenner & Block One IBM Plaza			ENG, GE	, GEORGE	
Chicago, IL 60611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2643	1	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Apr	olication No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
Office Action Summary		09/883,475 HENR		IRIKSON, ERIC HAROLD			
		miner	Art Unit				
		orge Eng	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this comi				dress			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than th - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for - Any reply received by the Office later than three moi earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(  Status	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). communication. irty (30) days, a reply within um statutory period will appl reply will, by statute, cause onths after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may the statutory minimum of by and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(	s) filed on <u>16 June</u> .	<u> 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This ac	tion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
_	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	u tha Evanciaes						
9) The specification is objected to b			vidha Evansinas				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objecte							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	d to by the Examin	Ci.					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign prio	rity under 35 II S (	2 & 110(a) (d) ar (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	•	nty under 33 0.3.0	2. 9 119(a)-(u) 01 (1).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the price		ro boon rossived					
2. Certified copies of the pric	•		Application No.				
			en received in this National	Store			
application from the In  * See the attached detailed Office a	ternational Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	Stage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for domestic pric	ority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla		• •		,			
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144)			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PTo				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action S	ummary	Part of Paper No. 5				

Art Unit: 2643

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 4-8 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kannes (US PAT. 5,382,972).

Regarding claim 1, Kannes discloses a method for selecting a primary video image for displaying on a large picture region from a plurality of video image in a conference system that supports conference calls including an audio portion and video portion comprising the steps of determining an amount of audio signal generated by each participant of a plurality of participant, selecting a dominating audio participant, i.e., a speaker, from the plurality of participants based upon the amount of audio data generated by each participant of the plurality of participants, and selecting a primary video based on the dominating audio participant (col. 5 line 25 through col. 6 line 48 and col. 13 lines 37-58).

Regarding claim 4, Kannes discloses that the primary video image (200, figure 4A) is larger than a plurality of remaining video images of the plurality of video images (201-204, figure 4A).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 5, Kannes teaches the step of maintaining the primary video image for at least a predetermined period of time (col. 15 lines 53-68).

Regarding claim 6, Kannes discloses a method for selecting a primary video image for displaying on a large picture region from a plurality of video image in a conference system that supports conference calls including an audio portion and video portion comprising the steps of determining an amount of audio signal generated by each participant of a plurality of participant, determining whether a difference between an amount of audio data generated by one participant of the plurality of participants and an amount of audio data generated by other participants of the plurality of participants exceeds a predetermined threshold, selecting a dominating audio participant from the plurality of participants based upon the amount of audio signal generated by each of the plurality of participants if the difference exceeds the predetermined threshold and selecting a primary video based on the dominating audio participant (col. 5 line 25 through col. 6 line 48, col. 13 lines 37-58 and col. 15 line 40 through col. 16 line 16).

Regarding claim 7, Kannes teaches the dominating audio participant, i.e., the speaker, generating an amount of audio data that exceeds an amount of audio data generated by each of a plurality of remaining participants of the plurality of participants (col. 5 line 67 through col. 6 line 4).

Regarding claim 8, Kannes teaches the steps of determining a loudness of audio for each participant of the plurality of participants if the difference does not exceed the predetermined threshold and selecting the dominating audio participant based on the loudness for each participant of the plurality of participants (col. 16 line 1-16).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 11, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 4.

Regarding claim 12, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 5.

Regarding claim 13, Kannes discloses an apparatus for selecting a primary video image for displaying on a large picture region from a plurality of video image in a conference system that supports conference calls including an audio portion and video portion comprising first processing means for determining an amount of audio signal generated by each participant of a plurality of participant, second processing means selecting a dominating audio participant, i.e., a speaker, from the plurality of participants based upon the amount of audio data generated by each participant of the plurality of participants, and third processing means selecting a primary video based on the dominating audio participant (col. 5 line 25 through col. 6 line 48 and col. 13 lines 37-58).

Regarding claims 14-15, Kannes teaches a computer (68) including the first processing means, the second processing means and the third processing means for implementing automatic video signal selection operation (col. 15 line 40 through col. 16 line 16).

Regarding claim 16, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2643

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-5, 9-10, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kannes (US PAT. 5,382,972) in view of Iizawa (US PAT. 5,801,756).

Kannes differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching to determine an amount of audio data by counting a number of audio samples in audio packets generated by each participant of the plurality of participants. However, Iizawa discloses a multipoint video conference system utilizing a counter table for counting audio samples in audio packets generated by each participant of a plurality of participants in order to simply and efficiently of selecting speaker (col. 3 line 46 through col. 5 line 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kannes in determining the amount of audio data by counting the number of audio samples in audio packets generated by each participant of the plurality of participants, as per teaching of Iizawa, because it makes simply and efficiently of selecting speaker.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mitsui (JP 2001-092434A) discloses information processor for switching over and selecting one in several registration images as selection image based on level of acquired audio signal (abstract).

Art Unit: 2643

Maeng et al. (US PAT. 5,991,277) discloses a method for determining a talk or listen state using voice detection (abstract).

Tischler et al. (US PAT. 5,768,263) discloses a method for a talk or listen state determination in multipoint conferencing system (abstract).

Uesugi et al. (JP 04-373,385A) discloses a device for automatic picking up speaker image (abstract).

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, V.A., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Eng whose telephone number is 703-308-9555. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A. Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-6306.

Art Unit: 2643

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

George Eng

Examiner

Art Unit 2643